L. B. 240 13

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1893-94

191 .

(Mund.)

File No. 17-9

Serial Nos.

Later references.

Mund. 240-93.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Major ~~Part~~ B for February 1900.

SUBJECT.

Confirmation of a Compound wall to and levy of fees in Weekly Market at Bhutamani and other places in Kolar Dist.

Approved
3/6/29

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

Countersigned

1

Venkatrayappa's Darkhast
926-4-95 (Sl No 1)

Precis of the vernacular correspondence regarding the levy of fees at the Chintamani Market

---

Shanbhog Venkatrayappa and Sidlaghatta Basavanja of Chintamani presented a joint Darkhast to the Dy Coms. Kolar on 26th April 1895 offering to pay Rs 200 per annum to Government, if they should be permitted to levy fees at their own rates on shops held and head loads of goods &c brought for sale into the Chennapatna market.

2 On 2nd May 1895, the Dy Coms enquired of the Amildar whether the compound wall of the weekly mart was completed.

(3) The Amildar reported that the compound wall was ~~recently~~ just then completed with the ~~compound~~ gate and requested that sanction might be accorded for the levy of fees from 1st September 1895 as resolved by the Municipal Board at their meeting held on 7th August 1895. He added that the introduction of fees was very necessary in view to provide funds for repayment of the loan raised by the Municipality to meet the cost of water project

Amildar's Serial e

on goods to be brought for sale

(4) In ~~reply~~ to obtain the sanction of Government the Amildar was requested

3

to submit a detailed list of rates to be levied. The matter was laid before the Municipal Board of Chintamani and on receipt of a copy of their Proceedings with a list of rates, the whole correspondence was

29/8/96 (Slews)

forwarded to the Dy Coms of Ellysore, who was requested to report (1) what rates were levied in the Ellysore market, (2) whether this collection was made by amani or by contract and (3) whether in the latter case, the notice of annual sales was published in the Gazette. The Vice President of the Ellysore town reported

12/9/96 (Slews)

4

28/9/96

reported that the right to levy market fees noted in the margin was sold annually by public auction to the highest bidder that monthly rents were collected on each shop at varying rates, besides Mohalewja tax of 3 As per annum on each shop. Moreover at the place where cattle were sold, a fee of 3 pies per head was also levied.

1 Head load . . As 0 (one in the letter goes as far as 3)
2 Animal load . . — 0 — 0
3 Cart load (drawn by single bullock) } 0 —
4 — 0 — (— 2 bullocks) 0 — 2 —

^ every week

The notice of sale of right to collect the above fees was published by beat of tom toms and not by publication in the Gazette

(5) On 11th October 1895 the Amildar submitted a detailed list of articles with rates

+ Serial no 4

1. A (Serial cto 4/a) translation of which is given in colm 3 of the appended statement

Serial cto 3

rates proposed to be levied in the market. On the same date one Hussain Sal of Ammanavenpete of Chintamani sent in a petition to the Amildar requesting that right to levy fees in the market might be sold to him for Rs 625. A similar petition was presented by one Nanna offering to pay Rs 650 per annum for the levy of fees

+ 19/3/96

Sl cto 9.

Serial cto 5.

(6) The Sub Divisional Officer of Chickballapur was also requested to report on what articles and at what rates, fees were levied in the market at Chickballapur, whether the collection was given out on contract. In ~~accordance~~ submitting according to a list of rates marked B the Sub-Divisional

Serial cto 5/a, translation is given in colm 2 of the above statement appended to the proceedings

15—11-95

Officer stated that ~~notice~~ the right to collect fees was sold by public auction annually, that ~~the~~ notification of such sales was ~~being~~ published in the Gazette

(7) In ~~the~~ Proceedings ~~of the municipal Board~~ dated 28th August 1896, the Municipal Board of Chintamani ~~adjourn to~~ ~~be~~ again discussed the question of rates to be levied and adopted the marginally noted rates and resolved to levy ~~the~~ fees on articles at the gate as they pass inside in preference to the levy at each shop held in the market

Several

Given also in col 4 of the appendix to statement

(1)	Laden cart	As 0 — 2 : 0
(2)	Empty cart	0 — 1 : 0
(3)	Bullock, horse or buffalo load	0 — 0 : 6
(4)	Ass load	0 — 0 : 3
(5)	Hand cart load	0 — 0 : 3
(6)	Do — empty	0 — 0
(7)	Head load basket &c	0 — 0
(8)	Kavadi	0 — 0
(9)	Buffalo, Cows, Horses, &c brought for sale per head	0
(10)	Sheep goat ass	0 — 0
(11)	Fowl per head	0 — 0
(12)	Bundle of cloth a Kambly	0 —
(13)	Hides (large)	0 — 1
(14)	Do (small)	0 — 0

submitting the records with his letter etc 2782 dated 22nd January 1897 states that the rates proposed by the Chintamani Municipal Board are those prevailing at Mysore and ~~that some difference should be suggested lower rates for Chintamani~~ that some difference may be made between Mysore and Chintamani and that the rates proposed in the letter may be adopted.

(9) The rates prevailing in Mysore and those proposed by the Chintamani Municipal Board are not the same as stated in the D/ Comm letter dated

purposes of ready reference they are given hereunder

8/

Srs	Items	As proposed by the Chintamani Municipal Board			As Existing rates in the Mysore City market			
1	Laden cart for each	0	2	4	{ 4	2	4	for cart drawn by 2 bullocks
					{ 2	1	0	1 bullock
2	Empty cart	0	1	4				
3	Bullock. Horse, Buffalo load	0	0	6	0	0	6	
4	Ass load	0	0	3				
5	Laden hand cart	0	0	3				
6	Empty Do	0	0	1				
7	Head load, Basket.	0	0	4	0	0	1 ⊕	⊕ This is as given in serial srs 11, but it is also 3a given in Dy Comm's letter
8	Kavadi	0	0	6				
9	~~Buffalo~~ Cow, Horse & Buffalo brought for sale per head	0	0	6	0	0	3	
10	Sheep Goat, ass, pig per head	0	0	2				
11	Fowl	0	0	1				
12	Bundle of Cloth or Kamabbu	0	1	0				
13	Hides large each	0	1	0				
14	Do small	0	0	6				

(10) Submitted for orders with a statement shewing the rates now levied at Chickballapur and Mysore as compared with those to be collected at Chintamani as recommended by the Chairman the Municipal Board and Dy Coms

MJ 4/3/97

Statement showing the rates prevailing at Mysore and Chickballapur as compared with those to be collected at Chuntumany as recommended by the Amildar, Mul: Bd: & the Dy: Comr:

Rates at Mysore given in Govt Circl No 11 — Items	Rates	Rates at Chickballapur as per list B referred to in para 6 of the precis 2 — Items	Rates	Rates suggested by the Amildar vide list A referred to in para 5 of the precis 3 — Items	Rates	Rates suggested by the Mul: Bd: vide Chuntumany's report in para 4 of the precis — Items	Rates	Rates suggested in Dy: Comr's letter of 22/1/97 — Items	Rates
Per head load	- - 1	Cloth shop	- 1 -	Cart load of food grains	- 2 -	Laden carts	- 2 -	Laden cart (2 bullocks)	- 1 6
Animal load	- - 6	Lime -	- 1 -	do Animal load	- - 6	Empty carts	- 1 -	do (single -)	- 1 -
Cart load (drawn by single bullock)	- 1 -	Basket &c	- - 6	Tobacco shop	- - 6	Bullock, horse &c	- - 6	Animal load	- - 4
do (2 bullocks)	- 2 -	do (ordinary)	- - 3	Betel leaf (per bundle)	- - 6	Ass-load - -	- - 3	Head load	- - 2
Cattle per head brought for sale In Circl No 11 this is given as 1 Pie only	- - 3	Tailor's shop	- - 6	Lime stone, basket &c	- - 3	Hand cart-load	- - 3	Per Hd of cattle brought for sale	- - 3
		Tobacco stalk	- - 6	Cloth shop	- - 6	do Empty	- - 1		
		Fish Market	- - 6	Kambali shop	- - 6	Hd load, basket &c	- - 4		
		Kambali	- - 6	Vegetables (head basis)	- - 1	Kavadi - -	- - 6		
		Flower	- - 6	Snuff shop	- - 6	Cows, horses, bullocks &c	- - 6		
		Vegetable (per basket)	- - 3	Hd load of food grains	- - 3	Sheep, ass, do	- - 2		
		Hd load of fowls	- - 2	Flower bazaar	- - 6	Fowls	- - 1		
		Cart load of bags	- 1 -	Empty carts	- 1 -	Cloth & Kambli (per bundle)	- 1 -		
		Retail shop -	- - 6	Cows, bullocks, buffaloes	- 1 -	Hides (large)	- 1 -		
		Toy shop	- - 6	Sheep, ass - -	- - 6	do (small)	- - 6		
		Paraya cloth	- - 6						
		Hides - -	- - 6						
		Silk - - -	- - 3						
		Shroff - -	- - 6	Fowls - - -	- - 2				
		Arekanut	- 1 -						
		do (retail)	- - 6	Pots &c	- - 6				
		Native drugs - -	- - 6						
		Betel leaves	- - 6	Arekanut &c	- - 6				
		Earthen &c	- - 6	Hide	- - 2				
		Pots &c	- - 6						

47

Slow 4

10

Memo

In Proc no 1863 8 Dy 3/5/9
2403

~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ from a provision of Rs 3181 was sanctioned for the construction of a wall to the weekly market at Chuttanum ~~xxxx xxxxxxxxx~~ From the Dy Com reference no 2782/685 dated 22/11/97, it would appear that Rs 2406 was expended on the work The municipality now proposes the levy of fees from persons as a cost of rent The rates proposed by the Board are higher than those recommended by the Dy Com as given below (Please see Dy Com let

⊗ in three cases

(1) For every laden cart drawn by 2 bullocks } Rs 0-2-0 Rs 0-1-
(2) — do — 1 bullock 0-1-0 - 0-1-
(3) For every animal load 0-0-6 0-0-
(4) — do — head — 0-0-3 - 0-0-
(5) Each head of cattle brought for sale } 0-0-0 0-0-

This is not borne out by recent rules by the Dy Com This memo based mainly on the Dy Comrs letter is very misleading - The Board proposed the levy of fees on no less than 14 items at rates more or less as are appended from those mentioned in the Dy Comrs letter - On this point some explanation is necessary - G. 7/12/97

(2) The following are the precedents

(1) Proc no 2097 8 Dy 11/8/16
4976
sanctioning the levy of 3 Pres p.

(art by way of rent on all carts using the Municipal cart stand below the Doddakere Tank at Mysore (Sl cts 3 in the file)

(2) Pro cts $\frac{7898}{9\cdot93}$ Dt 14-11-93 sanctioning the levy of rent in the shape of fee for the use of market by person holding shops in the weekly market at Chickballapur.

(3) Pro cts $\frac{4173}{4\ 93}$ Dt 4 9 93 sanctioning the levy of 3 Pies on every head of cattle brought for sale into the enclosure built by the Municipality at Mysore

(4) cts $\frac{1204}{4\cdot93}$ Dt 21/7/93 declining to sanction the levy of fees from merchants in the weekly market at Chikkagal

(5) cts $\frac{15829}{16\ 94}$ Dt 27 3-95. declining to sanction the Mysore Municipal Boards proposal to levy a fee of one anna for registering each head of cattle at the weekly fair below Jeevanrayen Katte in Mysore

Several cts 10 in L I 270-96

Subsequent file with reference

12

Memo

The municipal Board of Kolar proposed the levy of fees at the weekly market at Kolar (Sl 8) & the President was requested to state what comfort or convenience the Municipal Board had provided for the public and at what cost to justify the proposed levy of rent or fee (Sl 11). In reply he now ~~states~~ requests ~~sen~~ that a loan of Rs 20000 may be granted to meet the cost of constructing stalls and a compound wall round the place where weekly market is held of & of improving the sanitation of the town How much of the loan

This is very vague - Sanitary Engineer does not seem to have been consulted nor a project prepared Q.

is for sanitation and how much for the weekly market is not clear.

May the reformation be called for

An application for loan to the Jaribere market is under correspondence

Submitted to Secretary for order
RD, 29/11/98 Eng 28/11.

(1) Market: This is not a ward for which any loan is admissible.

(2) Sanitation: The President may place himself in communication with the Sanitary Engineer.

A reply may be sent accordingly

Sent to Resp E. Dn
30--11--98

RD 4.15pm. 1/12/98.
(31)

13

The Resolution Board re the 10000 is the market of the other 10000 for the sanitation of the town.

1/12/98

[2] drafted Eng 5/12

14

(General Serial No. 30.)

11346

1894.

A 218

From The Dy. Commissioner Colombo District

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Musoor.

He calls for a representation how such levies are to be made, if so?

Dated 22?

Despatched 23? January

Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 2463 } 1893-94
No. 621

In reply to remarks in the Auditor's Municipal Budget.

SUBJECT.

Requests that sanction may be accorded for the reasons given, for the construction of the Compound wall to the weekly market at Chintamoney.

In Para 4 of Govt Pro 07 20/10/93 N 7134·0 / AF 118·93, Govt disallowed the provision of Rs 3181 made for the compound wall of the weekly market in Chintamoney and the Dy Com requested to state whether the money proposed to be spent on a market wall cannot be utilized for excavating wells. The Dy Com states that there are several wells in the town & that the sum of Rs 3000 is quite inadequate for water project & recommends the ~~under~~ construction of the wall.

Submitted for order

EJ 5/2/94

Ler What is the amount of fees levied for the past 12 months under the above Pro.

JP. 6/2.

Ger The Budget makes no provision and no accounts have been received from the Comptroller for the last 16 months. The Municipality in which ~~Sabaragamuwa~~ the levy of market rent was authorized is Kalutara Please see file below. The information called for cannot therefore be given

Submitted GPJ

Lr to Comm 21/2. JP

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the Same No 1434 2 9/2/94

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated 22 January 1894.
23

403
621

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to the remarks in the current year's Municipal Budget under Head VIII Public Works dis allowing the construction of a compound wall to the weekly market at Chintamany, I have the honor to state that this is a remunerative work and as such it is highly desirable that it should be undertaken. There are many wells in the town but owing to scanty rainfall, they fail during the hot season. The proposal is to construct a reservoir higher up the town and feed the wells and ponds from it. The project will be investigated by the Sanitary Engineer who is expected to visit the district shortly.

2 It is very doubtful whether a small sum of Rupees 3000 will suffice for such a work

3. In these circumstances a sanction may be accorded for the construction of the Compound wall to the market as provided in the Budget.

16

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

S Marshall

Deputy Commissioner

Thana

2 | 7

Read:-

Letter dated 22nd January 1894 etc 2463/621 from the Dy Com of the Kolar District, stating with reference to Para 40 of Proceedings dated 20 October 1893 etc to 71348/L 7118 93 that the construction of a compound wall to the weekly market at Chintamani is a remunerative work and that it is desirable that the work should be undertaken, & that the sum proposed to be spent thereon is quite inadequate for the water project of Chintamani.

Section [illegible]

Order thereon

The Deputy Comr is requested to explain fully how the proposed work will be a remunerative work and what annual income is expected to be realized thereby, by the Municipality

GR/2287

14342 27/2/94

L/7118-93

24-2-94

Sd Chief Secy

To

The Dy Comr Kolar

(General Serial No. 30.)

18-93 3

1894. 14998
6 APR. 94

From The Asst. Commissioner
Kolar District

To 3233

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 30. March

Despatched 5th April

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3497 } 1893-94
No. 834

In reply to Govt Pro. No. 14342 dated 24 Feb 1894. ~~dt 18-93~~

SUBJECT

Requests early sanction for the construction of the compound wall to the weekly market at Chintamani

SMR
1.4.94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Deputy Commr's Office
Kolar District,
Kolar 30th March 1894

No. 3492/834

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 14342/L-F-118-93 dated 27th February 1894 requesting to explain fully how the Construction of a compound wall ~~to the~~ round the weekly market at Chintamoney would prove remunera-tive and what annual income is expected to be realized thereby by the Muni-cipality, I have the honor to state that after the completion of the compound wall contemplated, a sum of Rs 1000, is expected to be realized every year, excluding the cost of collection, by the levy of license fees on articles brought for sale in the weekly market as is at present done in the case of Chik-ballapur weekly market, and I therefore request that early sanction may be accorded for the Construction

of the Compound walls under
referenced.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,

20

Abdul Baig
for Deputy Commissioner
Hissar

21/4

Read Correspondence ending with

Secretary's letter dated 30th March 1894 etc 34/82

17/93 from the Dy Comr of the Kolar Dt

Cir. 27. in regard to the construction

of a compound wall to the

weekly market at Chintamani

Order thereon

1863-82 3/5/94

H8-92

L7.240-93

Under the circumstances

stated ~~in the above letter~~ ~~the Commr~~

~~have no objection to the Municipal~~

~~Board's proposal to the~~

construction of a wall to the

weekly market at Chintamani ~~and~~

~~to levy a small cent from ven~~

~~dealers in the weekly mar~~

~~But the Dy Comr is requested~~

to submit a detailed estimate

for the compound wall and the

copy of the Board's resolution

prescribing the rate of license

fee to be levied from vendors

of articles xc in the market for

the final sanction of Govt

Submitted 12-4-94

for Under Secy

To The Dy Comr Kolar Dist

Contributor 1.

A the provision of

being carried out in regard

No 3 made 3/8/1

in the Budget

for 1893-94 for the

is sanctioned.

25/4/94

304-94

Gen. 31.]

189 6—97
6324
26-1-97
2479

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government ~~Devan~~ of Mysore.
Revenue and General Department
Bangalore.

Dated 22nd
Despatched 23rd
Received

January 1897.

General No. 2782
No. 685

Enclosure, No. 1 & 2

Requests sanction of Government for levying the proposed rate of fees at the newly-completed market at Chintamany.

GM 25/1/97

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's
Dated 22 Janua
23

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments

Sir.

I have the honor to submit for the Sanction of Government the resolution of the Municipal Board of Chintamany for levying fees on goods and cattle brought for Sale into the market at Chintamany.

2. A weekly fair is held in the Municipa town of Chintamany, which is largely attended by Grain dealers, ryots and merchants of the Chintamany, Bagepally and Siddaghatta Taluks. In order to provid Suitable accomodation for this large concourse an eligible Site was acquired and a neat compound wall was erected at a cost of Rupees 2406/- on the repre Sentation of the people attending the marke

tha

that the cost might be recouped from the fees levied for the use of the market. The market was completed recently and is well patronized. The municipality now propose to levy fees at rates prevailing at Mysore and noted in the margin. Though the Chintamany market is an important one, I consider that some difference should be made between Mysore City and a Mufassil Kasba Station and propose that rates may be as noted hereunder.

per laden cart drawn by two bullocks	Re- as. p " — 2 — "
per laden cart drawn by a single bullock	" — 1 — "
per animal load	" — " — 6
per head load	" — " — 3.

1. per laden cart drawn by two bullocks. " — 1 — 6
1. per laden cart drawn by a single bullock " — 1 — "
1 per animal load . . . . . " — " — 4
1. per head load . . . . . " — " — 2
Each head of cattle brought for sale " — " — 3.

These rates are moderate and may be sanctioned

The Vernacular correspondence ending with letter no 756 dated 5th October 1896 from

From the Deputy Commissioner Mysore,
is forwarded for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant.

A Ranganaswami Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

26

1897.

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments

To



The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

~~Dated~~ 6th

Despatched

Received March 1897

General No. 9968
L.F No. 248-93

Enclosure No. one file of V. Papers

RL 165

His letter c/o 2782/685.
dated 22nd January 1897
regarding the levy of fees
in the market at Chintamani

In returning the
memorandum correspondence
received with the above,
has the honor to point
out that the Board appear
from the records to have
proposed the levy of fees
on no less than 14 articles
at certain rates, while the

If Comr in his letter represents them as having proposed the levy on 4 items only and at different rates. He is therefore requested to explain the discrepancy.

By order

For Secy

No 5973 of 1896

Gen. 31]
Section Mint
File No 17-93
Serial 7

1897 8453 9-4-97

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Revenue & General Departments

Dated 31st March 97
Despatched 8th.
Received
General No. 3952
No. 914
Enclosure No 1 & file

Explaining the discrepancies regarding the levy of fees in the market at Chintamani

There is no proposal to charge a fee or rent for the use of the municipal offices building at Chintamani. The 2nd (owner) clapiers - tion - offices temple & yard - the rates has not been levied accordingly. - There is not a question of taxation.

Dated 18/4/97

(Survey Kanthiah)
21-4-97

22/4/97

9-4-97

Submitted with reference
DK

Submitted with reference. Draft issued
Ajtin. 7 JUN 97. 14/6/97.

Kolar Deputy Commissioners

Dated 31st March 1894

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Revenue & General Department

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 9968 L.T.240-93 dated 6th Instant requesting the Submission of explanation of the discrepancies therein pointed out regarding the levy of fees in the market at Chintamony, I have the honor to Submit for the information of Govern-ment an English translation of the list of rates received from the Vice President of the Chintamony Municipal Board from which it may be observed that the proposed levy of fees though represented under 18 heads may Still be Summarised under the 5 different items, mentioned

in

in my letter under advertance, with the exception of Shop tax which is regulated by the Mohatarfa rules now in force — When fee is levied on articles of merchandize according to loads, it is not proper to charge again fee for the Stalls or Empty Carts brought in for taking the goods away. The rates recommended by me were adopted from what prevail at Mysore due regard being had to the fact that this Municipality is Situated in the interior of the District and is a Small one requiring development. The classification is Simple and rates easy and they may therefore be Sanctioned at least tentatively.

The vernacular Correspondence ending with letter No 756 dated 5th October 1896 from Deputy Commissioner Mysore to

herein

herein enclosed for further reference
and obliging return when no longer required

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

W. Kirkpatrick
Dy Deputy Commissioner

Lt.

382

Read again

Section [illegible]
File No [illegible]

Letter No 2782/685 dated 22nd January 1897 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District requesting Sanction of ~~Government~~ the to levy certain ~~annual~~ fees as ~~contained~~ noted in the margin ~~for the voting~~ at the weekly market at Chintamani, for which a compound wall has been raised at a cost of Rs 2406 from the Municipal Funds, and stating that the Municipal Board ~~agree to~~ recommend the proposed levy.

Laden cart drawn by 2 bullocks	Rs 0—1—6
Laden cart drawn by Single bullock	Rs 0—1—0
animal load	Rs 0—0—4
head load	Rs 0—0—2
head of cattle brought for sale	Rs 0—0—3

Read

Letter No 3952/914 dated 31st March 1897 from the same officer stating, with reference to Govt Ex. No 9968/2946-93 dated 6th March 1897 ~~that~~ the Municipal Bounds proposed to levy fees on 18 ~~heads~~ items was summarized under the 5 different heads mentioned in his letter of the 22nd January 1897 with the exception of Shop tax which is regulated by the Mohalla fee rules now in force.

Order thereon. 1215-E-S / 24093 *

Government are pleased to convey their sanction to the Deputy Commissioner's proposal contained in the first of the letters read above, as referred.

2/5/97
Secretary.
DRG 26/4/97

*
9/8/97 R/L 2081
In one file
of V. Papers to Dole

&

The President of Municipality, Kolar Dt. returning herewith the file of Vernacular papers & Comptroller is informed.

57

115
7-7-98

Gen. 31.]

1898

(24

From The President
Municipal Board
Kolar District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
Revenue and General departts

Dated 4.7.98

Despatched 6.7.98

Received

General No. 94 } 1898-99.
No. 25

Enclosure No. 1

In submitting herewith an extract from the Proceedings of the Kolar Municipal Board held on the 30th ultimo, has the honor to request that sanction of the Government may be accorded to levy the fees in the weekly market at Kolar according to the scale sanctioned for by their bye-laws in Proceedings No. 3952 dated 9/4 March 1897 as follows:-

1. per laden cart-drawn by two bullocks — 0-1-6

Subject: Levy of fees in weekly markets in Municipal towns.

Submitted for orders as to whether the levy of fees may be sanctioned. It is ascertained from enquiries that the weekly market is held within municipal limits of Kolar but that there is no market or other enclosure, as in Chintamani, for the use of which the fee may be levied as rent or compensation.

What has the Municipal Board proposed, what rate, by way of rent or fee, for the use of the proposed levy, do you consider reasonable, and on what grounds is it proposed to levy the fee?

D. No. 111, 13/7/98.

2 per wooden Cart drawn by a Single bullock — 0.1.0

3 per Animal load — — 0.0.4

4 per head load — 0.0.2

5 per head of Cattle brought for sale — 0.0.3.

The Kolar weekly market is well attended. The sale of Cattle being one of the items transacted. It is hoped that the loss caused by the abolition of the loom tax will be more than made up by the proposed market fees, which will not be felt as a burden.

[signature]
President

Proceedings of the Municipal Board Kolar at a meeting held on Thursday the 30th June 1898.

x —— x ——— x —— x —

8 Read:-

Sub Committees report regarding proposals for levying octroi duty to Compensate the loss caused by the abolition of the toom tax.

Resolved that fees be levied in the weekly market in the Kolar town according to the scale sanctioned for the Chinbamani market and application fee made to Government accordingly.

(Signed) K. P. Puttanachetty
President.

Copy"
Illegible
President

To. The President and Boards
Kolar District

~~Read~~. 37

(28) His Docket No 94/25 3/4/98

~~from the President, Municipal~~
~~Board, Kolar District~~, requesting Municipal Boards proposing Sanction to the levy of certain fees as noted in the margin at the weekly market at Kolar and stating that the loss caused by the abolition of the toom tax will be more than compensated by the proposed levy of fees ~~and that~~ which has ~~been approved of by~~ not the Board of Kolar ~~has been approved of~~.

Rev No 128

Cadaverous ~~Cattle~~ sold, 2 bullocks 0-1-6
do do by a single bullock 0-1-0
Per animal load - 0-0-4
Per head load - 0-0-2
Per head of cattle brought for sale 0-0-3

No 1037/L.7.260-93) 19/7/98 Order thereon

Write reference to the above has the honor to enquire what comfort or convenience the Municipal Board has provided for the public and at what cost to justify the proposed levy of rent or fee. P.D.R. 18/7/98

38.

Gen. 31.]

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 35-72. 18 OCT. 98

1898. 99. - (12)

From

The President of the Municipalities in the Kolar District.

To

The Secretary to the Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore. General and Revenue Department

Dated 10th October 1898

Despatched 15th do

Received

General No. 1561 } 1898.99

No. 412

Enclosure No. 1

Submitting copy of resolution passed by the Municipal Board of Kolar, and requesting to obtain the Sanction of Government for a Loan of ~~Rs~~ 20000 to meet the cost of Constructing Stalls and a Compound wall around the place where weekly market at Kolar is now held.

To the Same 7185 & 12-12-98
& 7240-98

17-10-98

Office of the President
of the Municipalities
in the Kolar District.

No. 1561 Ex-1
412

Dated 10th/15th October 1898

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 1037 L.F. 240-93 dated 19th July 1898 enquiring what Comfort or Convenience the Municipal Board has provided for the public and at what cost to justify the proposed levy of rent or fee in the weekly market at Kolar, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the resolution passed by the Members of the Kolar Municipality on the subject at a meeting held on the 29th August 1898 and to request that you will be so good as to obtain Sanction of Government for a Loan of Rs 20000 to meet the cost of Constructing Stalls and a Compound wall around the place where weekly

market

Market is now held and of improving the Sanitation of the Town.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant,

H. [illegible]
Resident of the
Municipalities in the
Kolar District.

Proceedings of the Municipal Board Kolar at a meeting held on Monday the 29th August 1898.

× × × × × ×

× × × × ×

6. Read; Secretary's Docket No 1037 L.F. 240-9 Dated 19th July 1898 enquiring what Comfort or Convenience the Municipal Board has provided for the public and at what cost, to justify the proposed Levy of rent or fee in the weekly market at Kolar.

Resolved that the Land on which the weekly market is held should be acquired and then to construct Stalls of pukka nature with a Compound wall arround. The approximate Cost for the above work may amount to ~~Rs~~ 10000, & an application should be made to Government for a Loan of ~~Rs~~ 20000 to meet the cost of Land works and also for improving Sanitation of the town

× × × × ×

(Sgd) M Krishnaiengar
Vice President

"Copy"
Attd:

42

Read: -

Letter No. 1561/412 D/10th October 9
From the Presidt. of Municipa-
-lity in the Kolar District,
Submitting, with reference t
this office docket-No. 1037
2 & 240-9
D/19th July 1898 - copy of the
Resolution of the Municipal Board
of Kolar D/29th August-98
And requesting Sanction of
Govt to a loan of Rs 20,000
to be granted to the Municipality of Kolar to meet the cost-
of constructing Stalls and a
Compound wall around the
Place where weekly market
is held and of improving
the Sanitation of the town

Order thereon No. K/185
2 & 220-93
D/12th Dec 1894

The President is informed
that the construction of
Stalls on the Place where the
weekly market is held and
enclosing the same with a
wall is not a work for
which any loan is advisable.
In regard to the work of
improving the Sanitation
of the town, he is requested

to place himself in
communication with the
Sanitary Engineer.

12-90

7/12/90

Secretary

org 6/12/90

To the Board of Guardians
of the Kolar Dist.

44

189

FROM

The President
Kolar Dt.

To

The Secy. to Govt Genl Departts:

Dated 24th Novr: 1899

Despatched

Received

General No. 410

No. 1281

Enclosure No. 1

Requesting sanction to levy fees on goods and cattle brought for sale into the weekly fairs at Bowringpett.

To the same

6616 9/12/99
L 2 140-93

28.11.99

As above

DNJ 29/11/99

Usual Draft - put up as above

Encl: Transl: of para 2 of the Bdr Proc — DNJ 30/11/99 30/11/99

I therefore request that sanction of Government may be obtained for levying fees as per margin, as was sanctioned for Chumbanicottah Proceedings 7.10.12. 27.4.10 dated 8th May 1879.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obd. Servt
Chas Lucas
Dy Commr

2. ——do——————— do ——— a single bullock
3. Per Animal load ——————
4. Per Head Load ——————
5. Per Head of Cattle brought for Sale ——————

1899

From

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

To

The Presdt of the Mcpl
Kolar Death

Dated 4-12-99

Despatched

Received

General No. 6416 R L 813
L F No. 240-93

Enclosure No.

From the Dewan
No 5708 6/10 dt 10/9

His no 460 dated 24th Nov 1899 requesting sanction to the levy of fees ~~[illegible]~~ at the weekly market at Bm -ungsfer -

---

With reference to the above has the honor to request information on the following points :-

1, Is the place where the weekly market is now held within the Municipal limits

the Town?

What conveniences have been provided by the Mt Co for the benefit of the people assembling at the Fairs to justify the levy of the imposed rent or fee?; and

3? if any ~~comforts~~ Conveniences have been so provided, at what cost from Mt Funds.

"Bd"

[signature]
1/12/97

[signature]
Mg 20/11/97

38/

50

6419
6/10-1-1899-1900

FROM The President
Local & Municipal Board
Kolar

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue & General Department

Dated 6/12
Despatched " } January 1899
Received

General No. 5 80
No. 177/98

Enclosure No 2 & 2

the attention of the Board should be drawn to the necessity for [illegible] providing conveniences and comforts for the tradesmen from the funds before steps could be taken to impose the fee proposed. (Vide cl 10 in d. 7 4 9 7 3)

WD Secy 11.1.00

Secy 12.00

Yes ll
31-1-1900

S-V H Secy 31-1-00

[redacted] Regarding the levy of fees [redacted] at the weekly market of Bowringpet

To the Dewan
9148 6/10/1900
L. 7. 2 8 0 - 9 8
6-1-1900

To.

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Revenue & General departments.

Sir.

Adverting to his No 6416
Z 7. 260-93
dated 6th ultimo anent the levy of
fees at the weekly market at Bowring
I have the honor to inform that the
market is situated within the municipa
limits and no conveniences have been
provided for the benefit of the public
assembling therein owing to the paucity
of funds. A building will be constructe
if any amount is realized by the levy
of fees at the market.

The municipal members are so
anxious to have the market place
erected that they recently personally
asked me to allow of the right of the
collecting the fees being sold by
auction at once and the market
place

place build print the proceedings

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

[signature]
President

Read.

Correspondence pending the
letter to 510/27 of the January
1900 from the President of
the Municipalities in the Kilkenny
Dr., requesting Sanction
to the proposal of the Municipal
Board of Borrisoleigh to levy
of fees at the weekly market
there and stating that no com-
mencements have been provided
for the benefit of the public
assembling at the market
to the proceeds of fees & that a build
ing which be constructed later on
if any amount is realized by
the levy of the proposed fees.

Order thereon 1.7.1900 R 2193 10/21/00

The President is informed
that the attention of the
Board should be drawn
to the necessity of
promptly commencing and
completing for the tradesmen
from municipal funds
before

before steps could be taken
to impose the fee proposed.

9/2/00.

Secretary.
Engd. 9/1/00

To

The President of the Mexi-
Nolan Dr.

OLI